RECEIVED



PECEIVED

MAY 3 0 2003

7003 MAY 30 PM 3: 17

SARA KYLE, COMMISSIONER CITY of Chattanogga DOCKET ROOM

Randall L. Nelson **City Attorney**

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 801 BROAD STREET, SUITE 400

Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402

AREA CODE (423) 757-5338 FAX: (423) 756-0737

May 29, 2003

Michael A. McMahan Phillip A. Noblett Douglas M. Cox Kenneth O. Fritz Lawrence W. Kelly **Ann Shaffer Special Counsel**

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243

RE:

Petition of Tennessee American Water Company to Change and Increase Certain Rates and Charges so as to Permit it to Earn a Fair and Adequate Rate of Return on its Property used and Useful in Furnishing Water Service to its Customers, Docket No. 03-00118.

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Enclosed for filing is the original and 13 copies of the City of Chattanooga's Direct Testimony of the following:

- 1. Jon Kinsey, former Mayor of the City of Chattanooga;
- 2. Daisy Madison, Treasurer for the City of Chattanooga;
- Chief Jim Coppinger, Fire Chief, Chattanooga Fire Department; and 3.

Mr. Marlin L. Mosely, Jr., Financial Consultant, Public Financial Management, will be forwarding his Direct Testimony directly to you under separate cover.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely

Special Counsel

MAM/add

Enclosures

cc: Certificate of Service List

RE: TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO. 02 00112

CASE NO. 03-00118 CITY OF CHATTANOOGA'S DIRECT TESTIMONY DAISY MADISON

2003 MAY 30 PH 3: 33

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

1	Q.	State your name and address.
2	A.	Daisy W. Madison. My business address is City Hall Annex, E. 11th Street, Chattanooga
3		TN 37402.
4		
5	Q.	What is your occupation?
6	A.	I am the City Treasurer and Deputy Finance Officer for the City of Chattanooga.
7		o de de la communicación de la
8	Q.	Do you hold any professional certificates or designations?
9	Α.	I am a Certified Public Accountant.
10		
11	Q.	Does the Finance Office of the City manage the City's Budget?
12	A.	We prepare a draft budget for consideration by the Mayor and City Council. Once a
13		budget is adopted, then the Finance Office generally monitors the expenditure of funds to
14		assure that the budget is followed by the City's operational departments.
15		The second departments.
16	Q.	Does the City engage in a number of activities that use significant quantities of
17		water purchased by TAWC?
18	A.	Yes, the City operates a number of functions where quantities of water are purchased
19		from TAWC and also from East Side Utility District and Hixson Utility District.
20		District.
21	Q.	What is the nature of some of those activities?
22	Α.	The City has offices, sewer treatment facilities, recreation facilities, golf courses, and a
23		number of other operations that use water.

1		The City has over 2,000 employees and a number of them work in our four primary
2		office facilities, City Hall, City Hall Annex, the Development Resource Center and the
3		Police Administration Building in addition to a number of smaller facilities. We also
4		have some smaller offices such as the Paul F. Clark Building used by City Wide Services,
5		the Fire Department Administration Building, and the Mocassin Bend Sewage Treatment
6		Plant Office. These are typical office buildings with rest rooms facilities, drinking
7		fountains, and employee break rooms.
8		The largest user of water in the City is the Sewer Department which operates a sewage
9		treatment plant and a number of pumping stations.
10		The Parks and Recreation Department operates two golf courses and a number of
11		recreational facilities. Some of those recreational facilitates have swimming pools.
12		The Department of Public Works uses water for street cleaning and for flushing culverts
13		and sewer lines.
14		The Fire Department utilizes water with fire hydrants and also maintains a number of
15		firehalls that contain sleeping and bathing quarters for firefighters.
16		
17	Q.	How much does the City pay to TAWC on an annual basis for water?
18	A.	The amount will vary somewhat from year to year; however, based upon our usage for
19		the current fiscal year which began July 1, 2002, we estimate that our total payment for
20		FY 2002-2003 will be \$790,216.
21		
22	Q.	How many accounts does the City have with TAWC?
23	A.	The latest count is 224 commercial accounts, 57 industrial accounts and 3691 fire
24		hydrants.
25		
26	Q.	Have you had your staff review the billings from TAWC over the current fiscal year
27		to determine how much the City is presently paying TAWC?
28	A.	Yes, Ms. Vicki Corson, Accountant, and Mr. Arthur Clark, Accounts Payable Supervisor
29		have reviewed our accounts and have provided the information to me. They have
30		categorized the information on a spreadsheet in three categories: commercial, industrial
31		and fire hydrants.

1	Q.	Has your staff also estimated how much of an impact the proposed increase in
2		tariffs will have on the City?
- 3	Α.	The spreadsheet shows the impact of the proposed rate increased of 12.2% for
4		commercial, 7.4% for industrial, and 43.9% for fire hydrants. The spreadsheet will be
5		attached as Appendix 1 to my testimony.
6	•	
7	Q.	How much is the city currently paying for commercial accounts?
8	A.	Our best estimate for the current fiscal year is \$340,192.
9		
10	Q.	What is the projected impact of a 12.2% commercial increase?
11	A.	The projected increase is \$37,639.
12		
13	Q.	How much is the City currently paying for industrial accounts?
14	A.	Our best estimate for the current fiscal year is \$244,267.
15		
16	Q.	What will be the impact of a 7.4% increase?
17	Α.	The projected increase is \$18,076.
18		
19	Q.	What is the City currently paying for fire hydrants?
20	A.	Our best estimate for the current fiscal year is \$237,435.
21		
22	Q.	What will be the impact of a 43.9% increase?
23	A.	The projected increase is \$104,234.
24		
25	Q.	Does the City also obtain fire hydrants from other providers of water?
26	Α.	Yes, Hixson Utility District and Eastside Utility District?
27		
28	Q.	Does Eastside Utility District charge the City for fire hydrants?
29	Α.	No it does not charge the City.
30	_	
31	Q.	Does Hixson Utility District charge the City for fire hydrants?

1	A.	Yes, we are currently paying Hixson Utility District at the same rate that we are paying
2		TAWC.
3		
4	Q.	What is the total estimated cost to the City of the projected increases in the TWAC
5		tariff?
6	A.	Our best estimate based upon current fiscal year data is \$159,949.
7		
8	Q.	Aside from the charges to the Interceptor Sewer Accounts, what is the source of
9		payment of these increases in water cost?
10	A.	The City's general budget which derives its funds from a variety of tax sources, the
11		principal ones being the City's share of the sales tax and real property taxes.
12	\$	and real property taxes.
13	Q.	What is the source of payments for the Interceptor Sewer Accounts?
14	A.	The Interceptor Sewer system is a self-sufficient rate-based operation. The funds to pay
15		its water bills will be collected from the users of the sewer system.
16		and the bound by storic.
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
18	A.	Yes.
19		

1	TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
2	
4	STATE OF TENNESSEE
5	COUNTY OF HAMILTON
6	BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and
7	for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Daisy Madison who,
8	being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:
9	She is appearing as a witness on behalf of the City of Chattanooga before the
10	Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, her
11	testimony would set forth in the annexed transcript consisting of 4 pages.
12	i pages.
13 14 15	Daisy Madison
16	Sworn to and subscribed before me
17	This $\frac{29}{}$ day of May, 2003.
18 19	
20 21	Notary Public
22 (
23	My commission expires 3- Jep-03

APPENDIX 1 Daisy Madison Direct Testimony

Industrial Rates - 7.4% Increase	Fire hydrants - 43 9% Incarcon
 * Joachim says there are no sewer costs included in these payments. * Assume all ISS payments are industrial rate. 	* These costs are captured separately.
Jul 16,645 Aug Sep 19,483 Sep Oct 20,708 Nov 22,558 Dec 19,554 Jan 18,362 Feb 17,489 Mar 23,989 Apr 21,149 May 20,688 YTD 220,533	Aug 15,278 Sep Oct 23,390 Nov 17,931 Dec 18,114 Jan 18,152 Feb 33,548 Mar 18,177 Apr 18,190 May 2,798 YTD 180,874
August-April average 20,356 Annual estimate 244,267	August-April average 19,786 Annual estimate 237,435
Impact of 7.4% increase \$ 18,076 Source: Fund 5100 acct # 710703	Impact of 43.9% increase \$ 104,234 Source: Orgn D00202 acct # 710404

35,282 37,471 27,087 34,889 25,855 27,305 22,201 21,236 23,818

20,708 22,558 19,554 18,362 17,489 23,989 21,149

45,409 45,667 39,690

Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr

45,225 44,967 38,869

57,447

28,349 340,192 (31,677) 308,515

37,639

Source: Chartwide acct # 710703

Impact of 12.2% increase

Adjusted annual estimate

August-April average Annual estimate Less sewer

18,181

20,688

Commercial

Less: ISS 16,645

32,541

Chart-wide

19,483 19,908

54,765 57,379 47,795

(since it is a partial month at this point) to estimate for the year.

Leave out July (because of fiscal year cut-offs) and May

Assume all except for hydrants, fire prevention and ISS are

commercial.

Commercial Rates - 12.2% Increase

159,948	
₩	
CITY	
5	
COST	
ASED	
NCRE	
EST. I	
TOTAL	

950,165

159,948	\$ 790,216
⇔ ≻	છ
OTAL EST. INCREASED COST TO CITY \$ 159,948	TOTAL CURRENT COST TOTAL PROJECTED FOR FY 04

RE: TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. 03-00118 CITY OF CHATTANOOGA'S DIRECT TESTIMONY JON KINSEY

2003 MAY 30 PM 3: 32

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

Ī	Q.	Please state your name and address.
- 2	2 A.	My name is Jon Kinsey and my business address is Kinsey Probasco and Associates, 100
3	}	E. 10 th Street Chattanooga, TN 37402.
4	!	11. 57402.
5	Q.	What is your occupation?
6	A.	Kinsey Probasco and Associates are real estate developers. I am also involved but not in
. 7		day to day management of other business activities including a hotel, a restaurant, and
8		residential and golf course development.
9		
10	Q.	Are you the past Mayor of the City of Chattanooga?
11	A.	Yes, I served as Mayor from April 1997 to April 2001
12		
13	Q.	Early in your term of office did you have discussions with the management of the
14		Tennessee American Company about why they were charging the city so much for a
15		fire hydrant tariff?
16	A.	Yes, I was very surprised at the amount of money that the City was paying for fire
17		hydrants.
18		
19	Q.	Who did you discuss this issue with?
20	A.	I spoke with Dick Sullivan, who was then the manager of TAWC.
21	. ,	The second of th
22	Q.	What was the substance of TAWC's response to your inquiry?
23	A.	Basically, I was told that TAWC charged the City this much because they could. They
24		did not attempt to justify the fire hydrant tariff to me.

	1 Q	Did this cause you to take a close look at the operations of TAWC?
	2 A.	Yes, I became concerned that TAWC's method of operation might not be in the overall
	3	best interest of the citizens of Chattanooga. In particular, I was concerned that there
4	4	could be problems in the area of economic development. I was also concerned about the
	5	issue of TAWC becoming a supplier of water for the Atlanta area from its resources in
6	5	Chattanooga.
7	7.	
8	Q.	Did you formulate an opinion as to the desirability of the City of Chattanooga
9		owning the assets of TAWC in Chattanooga?
10	Α.	Yes, I thought that water was a critical resource for a City. I thought it would be better if
11		the City operated its own water company. Chattanooga already was operating a sewer
12		system, and a water system would be complimentary to that operation. Chattanooga
13		through its Electric Power Board also operates an electrical distribution system. I thought
14		we could do a better job for the citizens of Chattanooga than TAWC was doing.
15	*	own and the was doing.
16	Q.	Did you initiate some discussions about buying either buying TAWC or its assets
17	-	within Chattanooga?
18	A.	Yes, but we were told that they were not interested in selling.
19		
20	Q.	What did you and the City Council ultimately decide to do with regard to acquiring
21		the assets of TAWC?
22	A.	The City Council authorized the filing of an eminent domain suit.
23		
24	Q.	Did TAWC resist the eminent domain suit?
25	Α.	Yes, they resisted the suit not only in court but also through an extensive advertising and
26		public relations campaign.
27	•	
28	Q.	Do you know how much money TAWC spent fighting the City over the attempted
29		take-over by the City?
30	A.	I had heard rumors about the very expensive campaign that TAWC waged against the
31		City. I have recently been shown a copy of TAWC's response to Discovery Request

ı	L .	number 29 by the Attorney General where the total cost is represented to be
. 2	2	\$5,303,446.22.
3	Q.	Can we attach the response to Request No. 29 as Appendix 1 to your testimony?
4	A.	Yes, please do.
5		
6	Q.	How was the eminent domain suit finally resolved?
7	A.	The City agreed to dismiss its eminent domain suit in exchange for a significant reduction
8		in the tariff charged for fire hydrants and the promise by TAWC to assist the City is
9		improving its ISO rating. The tariff change was subject to approval by the Tennessee
10		Regulatory Authority.
11		
12	Q.	Was the fire hydrant tariff reduction approved by the Tennessee Regulatory
13		Authority?
14	A.	Yes, it was.
15		
16	Q.	I will show you some documents, which if identified will become Appendix 2 to your
17		testimony. Do these documents reflect the Settlement Agreement?
18	A.	Yes, they do.
19		
20	Q.	Did you have an understanding based upon the Settlement Agreement and what
21		transpired before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority as to how the cost of lowering
22		the tariff on the water hydrants would be handled?
23	A.	Yes, we clearly had conversations leading up to the Settlement Agreement that these
24		were costs that would not be made up by ratepayers, the decrease in the fees. And at the
25		TRA hearing that question was posed directly to Mr. L'Ecuyer and his legal counsel that
26		if they understood that this reduction in income would always be borne by the
27		stockholders, never by the ratepayers. The Chairman was very emphatic about that and
28		commented that TRA would not look kindly on their returning to try to recover those
29		monies.

1	Q.	As part of the settlement process, did you have any discussion either directly with
2		the water company or indirectly through the city's attorneys as to what would
3		happen with the fire hydrant tariff in the future?
1	· A	T 1

A. I do not recall that ever coming up. I assumed that the fire hydrant tariff would be subject to periodic inflationary increases, but I was very surprised to see that TWAC had asked for a 43.9% increase. In my opinion, this is contrary to the spirit if not the letter of our settlement.

8

9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

10 A. Yes.

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF HAMILTON

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Jon Kinsey who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness on behalf of the City of Chattanooga before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, his testimony would set forth in the annexed transcript consisting of 4 pages.

Jon Kinsey

Sworn to and subscribed before me

This <u>29</u> day of May, 2003.

Notary Public

My commission expires _

3-Sep-03

APPENDIX 1 JON KINSEY DIRECT TESTIMONY Page 1

Interrogatories and Requests for Production
Of Documents by the
Attorney General (First Set)
To Tennessee-American Water Company
Rate Case No. 03-00118

- 29. Q. DETAIL THE ACCOUNTING TREATMENT FOR THE COSTS INCURRED BY TENNESSEE-AMERICAN RELATED TO DEFENDING ITS EMINENT DOMAIN CASE WITH THE CITY OF CHATTANOOGA. PROVIDE THE DETAIL OF EXPENSES INCURRED RELATING TO THIS ISSUE, INCLUDING EXPENSE BY ACCOUNT ALONG WITH A NARRATIVE EXPLAINING ITS PURPOSE. IF POSTED TO A DEFERRED ACCOUNT, EXPLAIN IN DETAIL THE ACCOUNTING TREATMENT.
 - A. The Company charged to expense (account number 575602) all of the costs associated with the eminent domain takeover attempt by the City of Chattanooga. The Company did not defer any of the expense. All of the expenses were charged to income outside the test year. The Company is not seeking any rate recovery for this expense. The Company is providing a summary of this activity on the schedule attached to this response.

Tennessee-American Water Company Summary of Cost Charged to Expense for Eminent Domain Defense

	1998	. •					91	1999							
	December	December January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September October		November December	Jorombor		
Legal Fees	46,425.95	270.00	46,425.95 270.00 5,500.00	53 527 55	53 527 55 136 110 15 241 264 67	70 130 107	340 574 33						Jecel Incl	Iotal	
				20.12	2	16.404,143	219,571.23 11,344.34 673,252.75 153,038.23 26,269.98 (38,109.60) 174,191.81	11,344.34	5/3,252.75	53,038.23	26,269.98	(38, 109.60) 1	74,191.81	1,702,657.36	
Advertising&Public Relations	30,543.75	30,543.75 61,907.84 61,776.69	61,776.69	66, 103. 43	66,103.43 472,765.26 204,094.81	204,094.81		76,240.63	66,366.69	98, 197.56	55,089,43	127.987.02	03 527 72	3 187 804 05	
Service Co. & Company Labor	2	0	0										71.140.00	6,101,004.90	
	9		0.00	9	7,054.86	0.00	164,971.03	68,147.72	35,110.49	21,785.93	20,682.42	68,147.72 35,110.49 21,785.93 20,682.42 2,274.30	8.922.24	328 948 99	
Miscellaeous	3,181,11	3,181.11 2,762,73 3,167,20	3 167 20	4 014 12	A 712 8A	4642.60	1 0001								
				71 1. 101	1,7 12.04	4,012.00	1,021.14	8,619.22	8,920.47	8,796.89	5,994.99	8,920.47 8,796.89 5,994.99 7,842.75	1,417.26	71.669.92	
Accounting	0.00	000	0.00	00.00	000	000	0	0	0						
								000	0.00	000	000	15,275.00	0.00	15,275.00	
lotals	80,150.81	64,940.57	70,443.89	123,645.10	520,643.11	49,972.38	80,150.81 64,940.57 70,443.89 123,645.10 620,643.11 449,972.38 1,652,464,12 164.351.91 783.650.40 284.840.57 70,443.89	164 351 91 7	783 650 40 2	81 818 61 6	100 900 00	7000 11			
									4 25.300,50	0 10 0 0 10	70.020.07	15,269.47	38,059.03	5,303,446.22	

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CITY OF CHATTANOOGA AND TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

- 1. This agreement between the parties is conditioned upon the following:
 - A) Dismissal with prejudice on or before Friday, October 29, 1999 of the lawsuit styled City of Chattanooga v. Tennessee-American Water Company et al, Case No. 99-C-1081;
 - B) Passage of a resolution on or before Friday, October 29, 1999 to rescind Resolution No. 21983 and Ordinance No. 10813.
- 2. Upon satisfaction of the above conditions, Tennessee-American Water Company ("TAWC") and the City agree as follows:
- A. The City and TAWC will each pay their own legal fees and other costs related to all litigation.
- B. TAWC and the City will file a joint petition with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") seeking permission to reduce over a two-year period the current charge of \$301.00 a year per fire hydrant to \$50 a year per fire hydrant at the end of that period. If the TRA does not approve this provision, then this section is null and void.
 - C. TAWC and the City will meet regularly to coordinate all planned street openings.
- D. The City may become an economic development partner with the TAWC. As partners, each shall help the other to obtain appropriate available financing to expand or extend the system to provide necessary water service.
- E. With respect to Case No. 52118, the City may only appeal the portion of the Court's decision which holds that TAWC has a state-granted, perpetual franchise. The City agrees that it will not seek payment of any damages in this matter regardless of any decision or appeal. TAWC reserves the right to appeal any ruling adverse to it upon appeal by the City. If after all appeals are exhausted, it is determined TAWC does not have a state-granted, perpetual franchise, the City will immediately issue a franchise to TAWC as outlined in attachment "A." If, on the other hand, the City loses its appeal of the decision rendered in Case No. 52118, then this section as it relates to a City-granted franchise is null and void.
- F. TAWC will cooperate with the City in striving to improve the fire rating (ISO) to

- G. TAWC will not pursue the sale of water to Atlanta if such sale is contrary to the public will of the community. Should an agreement to sell water to Atlanta be consummated, the City may participate by making investments and realize benefits, if any, in proportion to its investment.
- H: TAWC will continue to hold at least one (1) Board of Directors meeting in Chattanooga each year.
- 3. If any provision or term of this agreement is found to be invalid by a court of law and/or is not approved by the TRA, the remainder of the agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Date: 0/25/99

Systian

CITY OF CHATTANOOGA

Date: 10/25/99

10/25/99

Mayor Jon Kinsey

Chairman John Lively

IN RE: TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY RECEIVED

CASE NO. PUE 03-0018 CITY OF CHATTANOOGA'S DIRECT TESTIMONY JIM MAC COPPINGER

2003 MAY 30 PM 3: 31

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	A.	Jim Mac Coppinger, 212 Masters Road Hixson, Tennessee 37343
3		
4	Q.	Are you the Fire Chief for the City of Chattanooga?
5	A.	Yes
6		
7	Q.	How long have you held that position?
8	A.	It will be six years on June 10th of this year.
9		
10	Q.	How long have you been employed in firefighting for the City?
11	A.	A little more than 25 years. I began my career as a firefighter on October 28, 1977
12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
13	Q.	What are the primary job functions of the Fire Chief?
14	A.	To direct the activities and operations of the fire department which include but are
15		not limited to fire suppression, hazardous materials, medical first responder, vehicle
16		extrication, fire prevention, training and resource management.
17		
18	Q.	Do you attend continuing educational seminars and Fire Chief Association meetings
19		on a regular basis?
20	A.	Yes
21		
22	Q.	Are you generally familiar with the profession of fire fighting in the United States?
23	A.	Yes

2	Ų.	of Fire Marshall?
3	A.	Yes
4		
5	Q.	What are the primary job functions of the Fire Marshall?
6	A.	To oversee operations and activities involving the fire investigation division, records
7		division, communication division and water supply.
8		
9	Q.	As Fire Marshall did you become familiar with the various laws and building code
10		provisions that pertain to fire safety?
11	A.	Yes, and I was also required to obtain and maintain a State fire inspector's
12		certification, that I still possess at this time.
13		
14	Q.	Do some of those laws and standards relate to the fire protection that is provided by
15		either public fire hydrants or private fire protection?
16	A.	Yes
17		en en spirit en en trade en en en 1800 europe en
18	Q.	As Fire Marshall were you required to inspect buildings that are open to the public?
19	A.	Yes
20		
21	Q.	In the course of your duties as Fire Marshall and your other duties over the years,
22		have you become familiar with the private fire protection devices generally in use in
23		the Chattanooga area?
24	A.	Yes
25		
26	Q.	Within the context of fire fighting or protection, what are the functions of private
27		fire protection devices.
28	Α.	Sprinkler systems/Standpipe systems are designed to either contain or extinguish
29		fires depending on the system's design. Also, private fire hydrants are installed on
30		private property for fire protection purposes.

1	Q.	Are you aware that the tariff requested by TAWC involves charges for both public
2		fire protection, i.e. fire hydrants and private fire protection devices.
3	A.	Yes, there would be a significant tariff increase paid by the City of Chattanooga.
4		There is no tariff increase for private fire protection, but there is a cost increase to
5		the private sector for "private service tap fees".
6		
7	Q.	Do private fire protection devices require a source of water similar to that required
8		for public fire protection with respect to the size of lines and water flow?
9	A.	Yes
10		
11	Q.	Prior to being appointed as Fire Chief, have you served as "water officer" for the
12		department?
13	Α.	Yes
14		
15	Q.	What are the primary job function of the "water officer"?
16	A.	To serve as a liaison with water utilities, architects, developers, builders, or other
17		parties operating within departmental jurisdiction and having an impact upon
18		water distribution systems, automatic/manual fire suppression systems, or other
19		areas affecting water supply/usage as applicable to the fire service.
20		
21	Q.	Who serves in the capacity of "water officer" at present?
22	A.	Staff Officer Randall Herron
23		
24	Q.	Has Mr. Heron assisted you with the preparation of data for your testimony today
25		based upon reports that are maintained within your department?
26	A.	Yes
27		
28	Q.	What records are kept by the Fire Department with regard to the amount of water
29		that it obtains for use from fire hydrants?
30	A.	FMIS (fire management information system) reporting system & hydrant testing
31		data

. 1	Q.	Does the City provide a monthly report to TAWC as to the estimated amount of
2		water used?
3	A.	Yes, when requested
4		
5	Q.	Are you familiar with the general range that is estimated in those monthly reports?
6	A.	Yes
7		
8	Q.	What is a typical amount of water usage that is reported to TAWC?
9	Α.	25,000 to 30,000 gallons per month
10		
11	Q.	You report in gallons rather than cubic feet?
12	A.	That's correct
13		
14	Q.	How many gallons equal 100 cubic feet?
15	Α.	750 gallons = 100 cubic feet, according to TAWC web page
16		
17	Q.	Taking the high end of the estimate, 30,000 gallons, monthly, what would be the
18		monthly value of the water used based upon a tariff of \$.555 per 100 cubic feet.
19	A.	$(30,000/7.5) \times \$0.555 = \$2,220.00$
20		
21	Q.	What is a typical monthly bill for fire hydrant usage?
22	A.	We pay on a quarterly basis. However, if it were calculated on a monthly basis, we
23		would pay TAWC approximately \$19,786.25 per month.
24		
25	Q.	Are there occasions of major fires where the normal monthly estimate of 25,000 to
26		30,000 gallons would become inaccurate?
27	Α.	Yes
28		
29	, · · · ·	
30		

Have you reviewed your worst fire in 2002 to provide an estimate of the amount of 2 water used on that fire? 3 A. Yes, Patrick's Restaurant/Lounge Fire. 4 5 Describe the general procedure used to derive that estimate. Q. 6 A. Based on gallon per minute flow of the specific nozzles and the amount of pump 7 time by the supplying fire trucks. 8 9 What is a reasonably accurate estimate of the amount of water used on the Patrick's Q. 10 Restaurant/Lounge fire? 11 A. 180,000 gallons 12 Do you have an opinion based upon your overall knowledge of firefighting and the 13 Q. data which is maintained by the department as to a reasonably accurate total of the 14 annual amount of water used in firefighting? 15 16 Normal monthly use about $27,500 \times 12 = 330,000$ gallons, plus about 300,000 gallons A. 17 for major fires for a total of 630,000 gallons or 84,000 cubic feet 18 Again using the \$.555 per 100 cubic feet rate, what is the estimated annual cost of 19 Q. 20 water used? 21 84,000 x .555 = \$46,620-A. 22 What is the annual cost to the City under the current tariff for public fire hydrants? 23 Q. 24 \$50 per hydrant per year – April 2003 total TAWC public hydrants = 3691 A. 25 $3691 \times 50 = $184,550$ 26 27 What would be the annual cost to the City under the proposed tariff increasing the Q. 28 tariff for fire hydrants from \$184,550.00 to \$265,567.45 if the increased tariff is 29 approved? 30 There would be a 43.9% increase, which would total \$81,017.45. A. 31

1

Q.

1	Q.	Does the City do inspections on fire hydrants to determine if they function
2		properly?
3	A.	Yes
4		
5	Q.	How often?
6	A.	Twice a year
7		
8	Q.	To your knowledge does TAWC do any independent inspection of fire hydrants?
9	A.	Yes, but only upon request
10		
11	Q.	What are some of the typical issues with regard to fire hydrants that cannot pass
12		inspection?
13	A.	(a) Out of service due to mechanical damage
14		(b) Out of service due to maintenance needs (stuck/broken operating stem or
15		missing caps)
16		(c) Maintenance needs for proper working order
17		(d) Paint for visibility
18		(e) Unacceptable ground clearance
19		
20	Q .	Does TAWC have any obligations with regard to repair of hydrants?
21	A.	The tariff agreement states:
22		"The District (TAWC) shall promptly upon receipt of a
23		Deficiency Report make such repairs or replacements as may
24		be reasonably necessary to correct the deficiencies specified in
25		the Deficiency Report".
26		
27	Q.	Based upon the fire department's reports to TAWC, can you provide a reasonable
28		estimate of the number of hydrant repairs that are required on an annual basis?
29	A.	Approximately 85 reported out of service (05/2002 - 05/2003) and approximately
30		200 maintenance requests during the same period.
31		

1	Q.	How many lire nydrants are currently on the TAWC tariff?
2	A.	3,691
3		
4	Q.	Are new hydrants added from time to time?
5	A.	Yes, as a tariff agreement request or by new construction requirements
6	100	
7	Q.	In general, under what circumstances are new hydrants added?
8	A.	To increase the fire department's water supply for existing residential and
9		commercial development or by new construction requirements by the
10	×	owner/developer.
11		
12	Q.	Who pays for hydrants that are installed in new residential or commercial
13		development?
14	A.	The owner/developer
15		
16	Q.	Who pays the tariff after the hydrant is installed?
17	Α.	The City of Chattanooga
18		
19		
20	Q.	Does the City also obtain fire hydrants from other providers of water?
21	Α.	Yes, Hixson Utility and Eastside Utility
22	-	
23	Q.	Does Eastside Utility District charge the City for fire hydrants?
24	A.	No, Eastside provides hydrants at no cost to the city.
25		
26	Q.	How are the expenses for hydrants covered by Eastside?
27	A.	Eastside Utility District's operating budget
28		
29		
30		
31		

1	Q.	To your knowledge is this a common practice in many parts of the 0.5.:
2	A.	Yes, in many areas, of the country the cost for public fire protection is assimilated i
3		the rate structure without any cost to the government.
4		
5	Q.	Does Hixson Utility District charge the City for fire hydrants?
6	A.	Yes
7		
8	Q.	If so, how much?
9	Α.	Fifty dollars a year per hydrant
10		
11	Q.	Do Eastside and Hixson Utility District provide essentially the same services in
12		regard to hydrants as TAWC?
13	Α.	Yes
14		
15	Q.	Does the City have a right to request new fire hydrants to be installed by TAWC?
16	A.	Yes, under the stipulations of the tariff agreement
17		
18	Q.	What is our allotment?
19	Α.	Forty fire hydrants and 2,000 feet of 6"inch or larger main
20		
21	Q.	Does the City generally request 40 new hydrants per year?
22	Α.	No, in the past few years, it has been a handful, probably ten or less
23		
24	Q.	What happens if the City request a relocation of a hydrant for purposes of street
25		relocation?
26	Α.	That relocated hydrant is deducted from our allotment of 40 new hydrants.
27		
28	Q.	Are there areas of the City that do not have adequate water mains to support fire
29		hydrants?
30	A.	Yes
31		

. 1	Q.	Can you give us the most significant example?
2	A.	Yes, Tiftonia
3		
4 5	Q.	What additional burden is placed upon the fire department when no fire mains are available?
6	Α.	We must maintain water tanker trucks as part of our operations in order to have adequate water supply
8		and drawer supply
9	Q.	Have you approached the management of TAWC to ask them to install fire
10		hydrants in these locales?
11	A.	Yes
12		
13	Q.	What has TAWC told you with regard to why there have been unable or unwilling
14		to install fire hydrants in these locales?
15	A.	That it is not cost effective because there are not enough consumers in these areas to
16		pay for the cost of construction
17		

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF HAMILTON

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State and County aforesaid, personally came and appeared Jim Mac Coppinger who, being by me first duly sworn deposed and said that:

He is appearing as a witness on behalf of the City of Chattanooga before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, and if present before the Authority and duly sworn, his testimony would set forth in the annexed transcript consisting of 9 pages.

Jim Mac Coppinger

Sworn to and subscribed before me This 29 day of May, 2003.

Notary Public

My commission expires 5-23-05.